

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/527,993      | 10/17/2005                          | Satish Gunturi       | 004501-807 4890     |                  |
| 21839           | 21839 7590 06/19/2006               |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|                 | AN INGERSOLL PC                     | ERDEM, FAZLI         |                     |                  |
|                 | IG BURNS, DOANE, SWI<br>CE BOX 1404 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| ALEXANDI        | RIA, VA 22313-1404                  |                      | 2826                |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •  |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|  |   | 10/527,993   | GUNTURI ET AL.  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Fazli Erdem  | 2826  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED | l.  lety filed  the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1)[X]  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ma   | arch 2005  |   |  |  |  |
| · <u> </u>   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3)   | ·— .  |  |   |  |  |  |
| -,   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | ion of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |
| _  | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| •  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |   |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) <u>1-4,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) <u>7-4,5 and 5</u> is are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are objected to.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| ·  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | r election requirement.  | •   |  |  |  |
|  | ion Papers  | •  |   |  |  |  |
|  | •   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| •  |   | arriller. Note the attached Office   | Action of form F 10-132.  |  |  |  |
| Priority ι   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   | •  |   |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| _  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |   |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |   |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  | ·  | d in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
|  | application from the International Bureau   | , , , ,  |   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|  | ·   |  |   |  |  |  |
| A44 a = b  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment   | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary   | (PTO-413)   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3) 🛛 Inforr  | 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/21/2005.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/21/2005</u> . 6) Uother:  |   |  |   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/527,993

Art Unit: 2826

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 5-7 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 8 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuniya et al. (4,196,442) in view of Chiba et al. (4,482,912).

Regarding Claims 1-4, 8 and 9, in Fig. 2, Kuniya et al. disclose a semiconductor device comprising an electrically conducting base plate 6, at least one electrically conducting top plate 9, at least one semiconductor chip 3 including a semiconductor material, a first main electrode 4 that makes contact with the base plate forming a plane interface and a second main electrode 5 that makes contact with the top plate, a housing 6, 9, 13 containing the base plate, top plate and semiconductor chip, where soldering material 8, 10 provided adjacent at least one of said first or second main electrodes that together with the semiconductor material forma an eutectic alloy or an alloy whose melting point is below of the semiconductor material. Kuniya further discloses that the

Art Unit: 2826

electrodes are made of graphite composite material as shown in column 4. lin 53-column 10. Kuniya et al. fail to disclose the required composite material with coefficient of thermal expansion close to semiconductor material. However, Chiba et al. disclose a stacked structure having matrix-fibered composite layers and metal layer where in Figs. 2, 3 and 4, the required composite material with thermal coefficient close to the semiconductor material.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required composite structure in Kuniya et al. as taught by Chiba et al. in order to have a power semiconductor device with better thermal characteristics.

Regarding Claim 2, composite material of top and bottom side of both the Kuniya et al. and Chiba et al. are the same material.

Regarding Claim 3, in claim 9 of Kuniya et al. 30% volume is disclosed.

Regarding Claim 4, both the Kuniya et al. and Chiba et al. disclose the matrix alloy.

Regarding Claims 8 and 9, the thickness of layers 4 and 5 are bigger than the thickness of layer 3.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

Art Unit: 2826

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR
system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR
system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would
like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated
information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FE June 8, 2006